

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SECTIS DEPAREMENT DE COMMURCE. United States Part et and Frade mark Office. Section 2007 Section 1 of Section V. (6,501.8 v.).

| APPLICATION NO | LIENG DAIE | LIRSEN AMED INVENTOR | ALTORNEY DOCKLENG | CONTRMATION NO |
|----------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 09.021.370 | 02.10.1998 | KLN HASHIMOTO | 521 1452 1911 | 136.5 |

| TX: | VMINER |
|---------|--------------|
| ST CYI | R. DANIEL |
| ARLUNII | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED TO 15 2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/021 370

HASHIMOTO, KEN

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit

Daniel St Cyr

2876

.. The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- after 50x (9) Mork in a room the making date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above itses than thinly (30) days a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.

 Failure for reply valuation the set of exchined period for the reply will by statute, cause the application to become RAMDONED (33 US C § 13).

 Any reply received by the Office late than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely flied, may reduce any earned patient form adjustment. Set 37 CFR 17 ADM.

| Jiaius | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------------|------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| 1)[🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) | filed on <u>:</u> | 11 July 2002 | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL | 2b)⊠ | This action is | non-fi | nal. | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the pra | | | | rmal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1-22,24 and 25</u> is/are per | nding in t | the application | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ | are with | drawn from cor | nsider | ation. | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1-22,24 and 45 is/are rejected | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restr | iction an | d/or election re | equire | ment. | | |
| | on Papers | | | | | | |
| | The specification is objected to by t | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any o | | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 - | | | | | ed b) disapproved by the Examiner | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are r | equired in | reply to this Of | fice act | tion | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected | to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13)🖂 | Acknowledgment is made of a clair | n for for | eign priority un | der 35 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a)[| ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priorit | y docum | ents have bee | n rece | ived in Application No | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) | | |
| - | The translation of the foreign la | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | _ | | | |
| 2) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | | 5) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Application Control Number 09/021.370 Art Unit 2876

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to the applicant communication filed 7.11.02

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamura et al. US Patent No. 5,522,509 in view of Matsuoka et al. US Patent No. 5,875,434

Shimamura et al discloses an apparatus and a tableware sorting apparatus comprising: a reading means 23 for reading data in a non-contact state from a plurality data carriers 12 attached to a plurality of container 11 of dishes selected by the customers, a calculating means 21 for calculating a charge for the one dish, a writing means is inherently included for writing the data in the data carrier in order for the system to operate. (See col. 4, lines 1-27); antennas 31,32, serve as input means for inputting data to be used to calculate the charge. (See col. 4, lines 39-47); the data carrier 12 is attached to the bottom 11a of the container 11, and said reading means reads the data collectively from the data carrier of the container placed on the tray 24. (See col. 4, lines 1-27); said reading means reads price data, the kind, of each dish from the carrier and said calculating means adds up the price of each dish and calculates the charge for the one dish and outputs the kind of dish in a display. A register or a computer for storing the kind and the price, of each dish (see coll. 3, lines 24-27). (See col. 3, lines 35-52); one or more items of goods are arranged flatly so that the directions of attached data carriers is the same, and said reading

Application Control Number | 09 021.370 Art Unit | 2876

means reads the data collectively from the data carriers of the one or more goods arranged flatly (See figure 6, col. 4, lines 19-28)

Shimamura et al fail to disclose or fairly suggest that the tag is a rewritable tag

Matsuoka et al disclose a system for picking articles comprising a terminal 4, a rewritable wireless tag 1 attached to a box 1, wherein the tag includes a microprocessor11, ROM 12, antenna unit 17, etc. (see col. 8, line 7τ).

In view of Matsuoka et al. it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the notoriously old and well-known wireless tag of Matsuoka into the system of Shimamura et al in lieu of the resonance tag for facilitating price update and for providing more effective communications. Furthermore, such modification would enhance and make the system more reliable and more practical, wherein each plate could program/reprogram so that various type of dish with different price could be served with each plate. Regarding waiting a predetermined period before answering, inherently the system waits a predetermined time period to process the received information to formulate an appropriate respond. Therefore, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Shimamura et al.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamura et al.
as modified by Matsuoka et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Ehrat, US
Patent No. 3,836,755.

Shimamura et al as modified by Matsuoka et al do not disclose or fairly suggest a measuring means for measuring the weight of the dish or drink. Application Control Number: 09 021,370 Art Unit 2876

. .

Ehrat discloses a self-service shop wherein a measuring means 182 for measuring and detecting the weight of the goods (see col. 3, lines 43-53).

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the measuring means of Ehrat into the system of Shimamura et al as modified by Matsuoka et al for the purpose of monitoring the goods from the tray of the adjusting apparatus. Furthermore, having a measuring means into the system of Shimamura et al as modified by Matsuoka et al would allow the system to sell goods according their weight wherein the adjusting apparatus would calculate the price of the item corresponding to its weight which would make the system more practical and more versatile. Therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shepley, US
 Patent No. 5,478,989 in view of Matsuoka et al, US patent No. 5,875,434.

Shepley discloses a nutritional information system for shoppers comprising: a reading means 29 for reading data in non-contact state from data carriers, such as bar code, attached to a container of the dish or drink selected by the customer; the system calculates the nutritional information of the dish or drink selected by the customer, and displays the information. (See figures 3, 5, col. 7, lines 27-46). Shepley discloses a nutritional information system for aiding customers with their purchase, but fails to display the calorie. However, since Shepley is most concerned with nutritional information, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivate to provide customers with the ability to obtain nutritional information, including calorie information of the dish or drink in order to allow customers to make better food choices, which

Application Control Number 09 021.370 Art Unit 2876

would help customers controlling their specific diet. Therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient

Shepley fails to disclose that the data carrier is a rewritable carrier having a communication control logic

Matsuoka et al disclose a system for picking articles comprising: a terminal 4; a rewritable wireless tag 1 attached to a box 1, wherein the tag includes a microprocessor11, ROM 12, antenna unit 17, etc. (see col. 8, line 7+).

In view of Matsuoka et al, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the notoriously old and well-known wireless tag of Matsuoka into the system of Shepley in lieu of the carrier of Shepley for facilitating price update and for providing more effective communications. Furthermore, such modification would enhance and make the system more reliable and more practical, wherein each tag could be programed/reprogramed to be used/reused for various different products. Regarding waiting a predetermined period before answering, inherently the system waits a predetermined time period to process the received information to formulate an appropriate respond. Therefore, such modification would have been an obvious extension as taught by Shepley.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22, 24, and 25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application Control Number | 09/021,370 | Art Unit | 2876

7 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohno et al. US Patent No. 6,290,138, disclose a wireless data storage medium with flexible image recording section.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS October 11, 2002